

ALEXANDER G. CALFO (SBN 152891)  
*alexander.calfo@btlaw.com*  
KELLEY S. OLAH (SBN 245180)  
*kelley.olah@btlaw.com*  
GABRIELLE ANDERSON-THOMPSON (SBN 247039)  
*gabrielle.anderson-thompson@btlaw.com*

**BARNES & THORNBURG LLP**  
2049 Century Park East, Suite 3550  
Los Angeles, California 90067  
Telephone: (310) 284-3880  
Facsimile: (310) 284-3894

Attorneys for Defendants  
DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC.; JOHNSON &  
JOHNSON SERVICES, INC.; and JOHNSON &  
JOHNSON (erroneously sued as “Johnson & Johnson, Inc.”)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LYNNE OLSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., an  
Indiana corporation; JOHNSON &  
JOHNSON SERVICES, INC., a New  
Jersey corporation; JOHNSON &  
JOHNSON, INC., a New Jersey  
Corporation; THOMAS P.  
SCHMALZRIED, MD; and THOMAS P.  
SCHMALZRIED, MD, A Professional  
California Corporation; and DOES 1  
through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. CV13-1441-JAM (CMK)

**ORDER RE: STIPULATION TO STAY ALL  
PROCEEDINGS**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

TO ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Upon consideration of the Stipulation to Stay Proceedings submitted by Plaintiff LYNNE OLSON and Defendants DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., JOHNSON & JOHNSON SERVICES, INC., JOHNSON & JOHNSON (erroneously sued as “Johnson & Johnson, Inc.”), THOMAS P. SCHMALZRIED, M.D., and THOMAS P. SCHMALZRIED, M.D. A

1 PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION (hereafter collectively “the Parties”); upon consideration of  
2 all documents, files, and pleadings in this action; and upon good cause shown, it is hereby  
3 ORDERED that:

- 4 1. The Parties’ request for a stay of proceedings is GRANTED;
- 5 2. All proceedings in this action are hereby stayed, pending a decision by the Judicial  
6 Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on whether this case should be transferred to *In re DePuy*  
7 *Orthopaedics, Inc. Pinnacle Hip Implant Products Liability Litigation*, MDL Docket No. 2244.
- 8 3. Deadlines relating to any outstanding responsive pleading are extended pending  
9 entry of a Scheduling Order in the MDL court addressing responsive pleadings and the deadline  
10 to file any remand motion is extended pursuant to the MDL court's August 14, 2012 “Order  
11 Regarding Cases Removed from State Court,” and any subsequent orders that the MDL Court  
12 may issue.

13  
14 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

15  
16 Dated: 9/5/2013

/s/ John A. Mendez

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28